

**EFFECT OF HIPAA PRIVACY RULE  
ON RESEARCH STUDIES:  
EXPERIENCE AND CHALLENGES**

**Martha Linet, M.D.**

**President-Elect**

**American College of Epidemiology**

**September 13, 2004**

# Topics

---

- I.** Summarize early experiences and problems
- II.** Describe effects of variable interpretation and implementation of HIPAA requirements
- III.** Need for ongoing assessment, opportunity to modify regulations, ideas for remediation, and benefits in joining other organizations

# Initial Reaction to New HIPAA Regulations

---

- **Only 17 months since compliance date (4/14/03) for most entities covered by HIPAA Privacy Rule**
- **IRBs, institutions, providers, and researchers confused**
- **Reports are mixed**
  - **over-reaction by some IRBs and institutions**
  - **since 2000: ↑ human subjects' requirements, ↑ IRB burden, and notable costs for HIPAA compliance**
  - **requirement for consent to include data in registries is impacting completeness of registration**
  - **industry studies may be less impacted**

# Problems: Release of Patient Information

---

- Pre-HIPAA: complete medical records released to researchers with ‘simple universal’ written consent
- Post-HIPAA: more difficulty in obtaining medical records, and components of records often deleted
  - providers reluctant to release patient information
  - providers obliterate more information than required
  - universal simple release forms replaced by complex releases effective for shorter intervals

# Problems: Consent Forms

---

- Pre-HIPAA: consent forms simpler, more standardized, & fewer legal requirements
- Post-HIPAA: consent forms longer, more institution-specific wording, & increased requirements for
  - witness
  - notarization
  - proof of kinship or power of attorney
  - copy of protocol

# Problems: Disclosure of Confidential Data

---

- Pre-HIPAA: access to confidential data restricted to investigators directly involved in research project
- Post-HIPAA: expansion of entities to which confidential data from subjects can be disclosed
  - IRBs
  - funding agencies
  - adjunct investigators

# Problems: Database Access Restricted

---

- **Pre-HIPAA**: investigator could
  - identify research subjects from hospital records
  - select controls for epidemiological studies from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS, formerly Health Care Financing Administration)
- **Post-HIPAA**: access to medical records for selecting study subjects generally not possible without waiver, and access to CMS databases for control selection no longer available

# Variable Interpretation of HIPAA by Different Medical Institutions

---

- Prior to HIPAA: easier to implement standardized methods as designated in research protocols of multi-center studies
- Post-HIPAA: variability of IRBs in requirements or in granting waivers can cause problems in implementing standardized methods of multi-center studies due to differences among centers in
  - investigators' access to medical records
  - subjects' overall participation rates or participation in specific components of study



# Financial and Legal Impact of HIPAA on Research Studies

---

- **Financial:** increased costs of research studies due to additional time required for
  - preparing IRB packages
  - designing HIPAA-compliant medical abstract forms
  - training staff in HIPAA requirements
  - answering subject queries, obtaining agreement of hospitals to provide records
- **Legal:** some institutions express concern about
  - risk of federal audit  $\Rightarrow$  may preclude IRB granting waiver

# Need for Ongoing Assessment of Impact

---

- Evaluate Experiences of Individual Investigators:  
to identify sufficient data and range of experiences  
to design survey instrument
- Conduct Periodic Surveys of HIPAA Effects:  
to ascertain extent, type, and pattern of problems
- Join Other Organizations:  
to inform investigators and professional  
organizations of survey results (*e.g.*, impact of  
HIPAA on research)

# Opportunity to Modify Privacy Rule Annually

---

- Must Document Objectively Any Adverse Effects:  
requires systematic data collection & documentation of repeated problems; anecdotes are insufficient
- Advantages of Joining with Other Organizations:  
results from standardized, large-scale surveys would clarify extent, type, and pattern of problems; benefit of enhanced communications and joint reporting to DHHS
- Subcommittee on Privacy and Confidentiality of the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics Hears Testimony Regularly to Advise DHHS

# Possible Remediation Measures - I

---

## Problem

- **Complexity of consent forms**
- **Too many parties given access to confidential information**

## Potential Solution

- **AAMC & ACE press DHHS to provide simplified template for universal record release**
- **AAMC & ACE press DHHS to limit access (IRB, funding agencies, 'business associates,' etc. should not have access)**

# Possible Remediation Measures - II

---

## Problem

- Differential interpretation of HIPAA requirements
- Falling participation rates are major cause for concern
- Institutional unwillingness to grant waivers

## Potential Solution

- DHHS, AAMC, ACE, & journals educate IRBs on HIPAA requirements
- DHHS, AAMC, ACE, others educate IRBs to reduce unnecessary barriers
- DHHS proactively reassure institutions & encourage granting waivers